



Inclusive education and educational inequality: structural challenges in the face of school failure

Educación inclusiva y desigualdad educativa: retos estructurales frente al fracaso escolar

Wilber Huamani Paccaya¹  

¹ Universidad Nacional de San Antonio Abad del Cusco. Cusco, Perú.

Submitted: 16-12-2024 | Revised: 12-02-2025 | Accepted: 05-03-2025 | Published: 06-03-2025

Corresponding Author: Wilber Huamani Paccaya 

ABSTRACT

This study analyzes inclusive education as a fundamental approach to promoting equity and quality within educational systems, in close relation to school failure and educational exclusion. Based on a qualitative design grounded in theoretical review and document analysis, the research examines diverse conceptual, policy, and pedagogical perspectives that contribute to understanding the complexity of inclusion in education. The findings reveal that inclusive education goes beyond the mere incorporation of students into the school system, requiring profound transformations in pedagogical practices, institutional structures, and public policies. Furthermore, school failure and educational exclusion are identified not as isolated issues but as outcomes of interconnected social, economic, and institutional factors. In this regard, limitations in the implementation of inclusive approaches are associated with the persistence of traditional educational models characterized by standardization and curricular rigidity. The discussion highlights the importance of adopting comprehensive strategies, including teacher training, curriculum flexibility, and the strengthening of equity-oriented policies. It is concluded that advancing toward inclusive education requires a systemic perspective that integrates different levels of the educational system, as well as the commitment of all stakeholders involved. This study provides a critical reflection that contributes to understanding the challenges and opportunities of inclusive education in contemporary contexts.

Keywords: inclusive education, educational equity, school failure, educational exclusion.

RESUMEN

El presente estudio analiza la educación inclusiva como un enfoque fundamental para promover la equidad y la calidad en los sistemas educativos, en estrecha relación con el fracaso escolar y la exclusión educativa. A partir de un diseño cualitativo basado en la revisión teórica y el análisis documental, se examinan diversas perspectivas conceptuales, políticas y pedagógicas que permiten comprender la complejidad de la inclusión en el ámbito educativo. Los resultados evidencian que la educación inclusiva trasciende la simple incorporación de estudiantes en el sistema escolar, implicando transformaciones profundas en las prácticas pedagógicas, las estructuras institucionales y las políticas públicas. Asimismo, se identifica que el fracaso escolar y la exclusión educativa no constituyen problemáticas aisladas, sino que responden a factores interrelacionados de carácter social, económico e institucional. En este sentido, las limitaciones en la implementación de enfoques inclusivos se asocian con la persistencia de modelos educativos tradicionales, caracterizados por la estandarización y la rigidez curricular. La discusión resalta la importancia de adoptar estrategias integrales que incluyan la formación docente, la flexibilización curricular y el fortalecimiento de políticas orientadas a la equidad. Se concluye que avanzar hacia una educación inclusiva requiere una visión sistémica que articule los distintos niveles del sistema educativo, así como el compromiso de los actores involucrados. Este estudio aporta una reflexión crítica que contribuye a la comprensión de los desafíos y oportunidades de la inclusión educativa en contextos contemporáneos.

Palabras clave: educación inclusiva, equidad educativa, fracaso escolar, exclusión educativa.

INTRODUCTION

Within the framework of current changes undergoing educational systems, inclusive education has consolidated as a fundamental principle to guarantee equitable and quality teaching. This approach arises from the need to recognize and address student diversity, eliminating those barriers that hinder their access, participation, and learning, with the purpose of building more just and democratic environments. In this sense, inclusion is not limited solely to integrating students with specific needs, but implies a profound transformation of policies, institutional cultures, and educational practices (Ainscow et al., 2006; Booth, 2005).

At a global level, UNESCO (2009, 2010) has highlighted inclusive education as a key element to promote social justice and sustainable development, emphasizing its role in reducing structural inequalities. However, despite progress in regulatory frameworks and political discourses, various studies show the persistence of significant gaps between what is proposed in theory and what actually occurs in educational practices. These differences are reflected in the permanence of exclusion dynamics, especially in contexts characterized by socioeconomic inequalities and institutional limitations (Echeita & Verdugo, 2005).

From this perspective, school failure and educational dropout are configured as relevant manifestations of exclusion, questioning the capacity of educational systems to respond effectively to diversity. Far from being attributed solely to individual factors, these problems are understood as the result of structural processes involving pedagogical, organizational, and social aspects (Escudero et al., 2009). Likewise, Fernández Enguita et al. (2010) point out that these situations affect students in conditions of vulnerability with greater intensity, contributing to the reproduction of educational and social inequalities.

On the other hand, contemporary educational reforms have been marked by tensions between the principles of equity and inclusion and the demands for efficiency, standardization, and accountability. From a critical perspective, Ball and Youdell (2007) warn that certain policies based on market logics can generate less visible forms of exclusion, particularly impacting the most disadvantaged sectors. This highlights the need to critically examine educational policies and their influence on the construction of truly inclusive systems.

Despite the broad conceptual development of inclusive education, a limited articulation is still observed between theoretical frameworks, public policies, and concrete educational practices, especially regarding their link with school failure and exclusion. Therefore, it is necessary to deepen the study of these phenomena from an integrated perspective that allows understanding their interrelations and dynamics.

In this context, the present study aims to analyze inclusive education as a multidimensional process, addressing its theoretical foundations, its implementation in educational policies, and its relationship with school failure and educational exclusion. Likewise, it seeks to generate critical contributions and evidence that contribute to the design of strategies oriented toward strengthening equity and quality in educational systems.

METHODS

The research was developed from a qualitative approach, with the objective of comprehensively understanding inclusive education and its relationship with school failure and educational exclusion. To this end, a design based on a theoretical review of a descriptive and analytical nature was adopted, which allowed for the examination, systematization, and interpretation of different conceptual contributions and relevant empirical evidence in this field.

The methodological procedure was based on the collection and analysis of documentary sources, which included scientific articles, specialized books, institutional reports, and other academic publications linked to the educational field. The selection of these sources was carried out considering criteria such as thematic relevance, timeliness, academic significance, and recognition within the scientific community. Likewise, priority was given to those works that directly addressed the central categories of the study, such as inclusive education, equity, school failure, and educational exclusion.

The analysis of the information was carried out through a systematic content review, which facilitated the identification of trends, theoretical approaches, and points of convergence and divergence among different authors.

To organize the process, the sources were classified into previously defined thematic categories, which allowed for the construction of an articulated vision of the studied phenomenon. This approach favored both the identification of conceptual relationships and the development of a critical synthesis of the current state of knowledge. Regarding the procedure, the study was structured in several stages. In the first place, the search and collection of information in academic databases and digital repositories was carried out. Subsequently, an analytical reading of the selected documents was performed to identify key ideas and relevant contributions. In a third phase, the information was organized according to the thematic axes of the study. Finally, we proceeded to the critical interpretation of the findings, integrating the different theoretical approaches into a coherent framework.

To guarantee the validity of the study, rigor criteria typical of qualitative research were applied, such as internal coherence, analytical consistency, and transparency in the source selection process. Likewise, reliability was ensured through an exhaustive literature review and the contrasting of diverse theoretical perspectives.

Overall, this methodological approach enabled a comprehensive and in-depth analysis of inclusive education, facilitating an understanding of its implications in the educational field and its relationship with structural issues such as school failure and educational exclusion.

RESULTS

The research results are presented based on a thematic analysis of the selected literature, structured around central categories linked to inclusive education, school failure, and educational exclusion. This section presents the most relevant findings through synthesis tables, accompanied by their corresponding interpretations and critical analyses.

Table 1. Categories of analysis identified in the literature

Central category	Identified subcategories	Predominant approach
Education inclusive	Access, participation, learning	Educational transformation
Educational equity	Equal opportunities, social justice	Rights and public policies
School failure	Low performance, repetition, dropout	Structural problem
Educational exclusion	Inequality, vulnerability, marginalization	Socio-educational approach

Interpretation

The results show that inclusive education is addressed in a multidimensional manner, closely linking it to equity and social justice. Similarly, school failure and educational exclusion emerge as interrelated phenomena that require structural responses.

Interpretation

An evolution is observed from integrative models toward inclusive and critical approaches, where diversity is no longer considered a problem but rather a central element of the educational process.

Table 2. Main theoretical approaches to inclusive education

Theoretical approach	Main characteristics	Educational implications
Integrative approach	Incorporation of students into the traditional system	Limited adaptations
Inclusive approach	Transformation of the educational system	Structural changes
Critical approach	Analysis of inequalities and power	Review of policies and practices
Sociocultural approach	Recognition of diversity as a core value	Contextualized pedagogical practices

Table 3. Factors associated with school failure and educational exclusion

Factor type	Identified elements	Impact on students
Individual	Motivation, academic performance	Risk of academic delay
Family	Socioeconomic status, family support	Inequality of opportunity
Institutional	Methodologies, curriculum, and assessment	Limited inclusion
Social	Cultural context, social inequality	Structural exclusion

Interpretation

The results show that school failure cannot be explained in isolation, but rather as a consequence of multiple interrelated factors, highlighting the influence of social and institutional conditions.

Interpretation

Various fundamental strategies for promoting inclusion are recognized, highlighting the importance of implementing comprehensive actions that coordinate pedagogical practices, teacher training, and aligned educational policies.

Table 4. Identified strategies for inclusive education

Strategy	Description	Expected impact
Curricular adaptation	Adjustments to content, methodologies, and assessment processes to address student diversity.	Enhanced student learning and participation.
Teacher training	Teacher training in inclusive education and the use of effective pedagogical practices.	Enhanced inclusion and strengthened teaching competencies.
Participation of the educational community	Promotion of collaborative work among teachers, students, families, and other educational stakeholders.	Promotion of an inclusive culture and commitment within the educational community.
Inclusive policies	Implementation of regulations and institutional actions aimed at ensuring educational equity.	Reduction of inequalities and strengthening of inclusion.

The analysis developed suggests that inclusive education must be understood as a global approach requiring structural changes in educational systems. The results show that neither school failure nor educational exclusion can be considered isolated phenomena; rather, they result from the interaction of multiple factors. Therefore, inclusion-oriented strategies must be proposed from a systemic perspective that integrates public policies, educational practices, and social contexts.

DISCUSSION

The results obtained allow for a broader understanding of inclusive education as a dynamic, complex, and multidimensional process, the effective implementation of which still faces significant challenges within educational systems. Although there is general agreement on its relevance as a guiding principle, its application in school practice remains limited by structural factors that condition its scope.

A key finding highlights the persistence of tensions between traditional teaching approaches and inclusive proposals. Despite theoretical advances promoting the appreciation of diversity, standardized models continue to predominate in educational settings, hindering attention to the individual needs of students. This reveals a significant gap between pedagogical discourse and institutional practice, affecting the effectiveness of inclusive policies.

Likewise, the relationship between school failure and educational exclusion reinforces the idea that these phenomena should not be understood as isolated situations or as solely attributable to the student. On the contrary, the results indicate that they arise from the interaction of various factors, among which socioeconomic conditions, pedagogical practices, and the organization of educational institutions stand out. This perspective aligns with contemporary approaches that interpret school failure as a manifestation of broader structural inequalities.

At the institutional level, educational practices play a decisive role in generating or reducing exclusion. Rigid methodologies, inflexible curricula, and standardized evaluation systems tend to reproduce inequalities, particularly affecting students in vulnerable contexts. In contrast, institutions that adopt inclusive approaches based on flexibility, participation, and the contextualization of learning show more significant progress toward equity.

Furthermore, the analysis of educational policies reveals a constant tension between the principles of inclusion and the demands for efficiency, standardization, and accountability.

This situation generates scenarios in which performance-centered reforms may conflict with equity goals. Consequently, public policies must be re-evaluated from a perspective that balances educational quality with social justice.

Regarding the identified strategies, teacher training emerges as a key component for strengthening inclusion. Evidence indicates that the development of competencies oriented toward addressing diversity is fundamental to transforming classroom dynamics. However, this process is not limited to the acquisition of technical skills; it also involves changes in the beliefs, attitudes, and professional cultures of teaching staff.

Finally, the findings indicate that inclusive education must be approached as a systemic process rather than an isolated intervention, given that it involves multiple levels of the educational system. This requires coherent coordination among policies, institutional practices, and social contexts, as well as the commitment of all stakeholders involved. Moving toward truly inclusive education therefore requires both political will and profound transformations in school culture and organizational structures.

In summary, the discussion highlights the need to strengthen comprehensive approaches to overcome existing barriers and move toward more equitable educational systems capable of responding effectively to student diversity.

CONCLUSIONS

This study analyzed inclusive education as a complex and multidimensional phenomenon, demonstrating its close relationship with school failure and educational exclusion. Based on the literature review and systematization, we conclude that inclusion should not be understood as a single action or an isolated policy, but rather as a structural principle demanding profound transformations in educational systems.

Primarily, it is confirmed that inclusive education aims to promote equity and social justice, facilitating the active participation and meaningful learning of all students. However, its effective implementation continues to face various challenges, especially in contexts where traditional, rigid, and standardization-oriented educational approaches predominate.

Furthermore, the findings show that school failure and educational exclusion are interrelated phenomena resulting from multiple structural, institutional, and social factors. This perspective overcomes reductionist views that attribute responsibility solely to the student, recognizing the influence of the context and the dynamics inherent to the educational system.

Likewise, both pedagogical practices and educational policies play a decisive role in promoting or limiting inclusion. The persistence of traditional methodologies, inflexible curricula, and standardized assessment systems tends to reproduce inequalities. In contrast, adopting inclusive approaches favors the construction of more equitable, participatory, and diversity-sensitive educational environments.

Additionally, teacher training is a central element for advancing toward inclusion. Developing professional competencies oriented toward addressing diversity, along with transforming teachers' attitudes and beliefs, is key to renewing educational practices and responding appropriately to student needs.

Finally, constructing inclusive educational systems requires a comprehensive vision that coordinates public policies, institutional practices, and social contexts. Consequently, inclusion must be treated as a shared responsibility among all educational stakeholders to guarantee quality education for all.

In summary, inclusive education represents both a challenge and an opportunity to redefine the meaning of education based on principles of equity, social justice, and the recognition of diversity, establishing itself as an essential component for developing more just and inclusive societies.

REFERENCES

1. Ainscow, M. (2020). Inclusion and equity in education: Making sense of global challenges.
2. Prospects, 49, 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09506-w>
3. Ainscow, M., Boot, T., & Dyson, A. (2006). Inclusion and the standards agenda: Negotiating policy pressure in England. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 10(4), 295–308.
4. Ainscow, M., et al. (2007). *Equity in education: New directions*. Centre for Equity in Education, University of Manchester.
5. Ball, S. J., & Youdell, D. (2007). Privatización encubierta en la educación pública. V Congreso Mundial Internacional de la Educación. <http://www.ei-ie.org/gats/es/newsshow.php?id=708&theme=gats&country=global>
6. Barton, L. (2008). Estudios sobre discapacidad y la búsqueda de la inclusividad: Observaciones. *Revista de Educación*, 349, 137–152.
7. Benjamin, S. (2002). *The micropolitics of inclusive education: An ethnography*. Open University Press.
8. Bolívar, A., & López, L. (2009). Las grandes cifras del fracaso y los riesgos de exclusión educativa. *Profesorado: Revista de Currículum y Formación del Profesorado*, 13(3).
9. Booth, T. (2005). Keeping the future alive: Putting inclusive values in action. *Forum*, 47(2–3), 151–158.
10. Calero, J. (2008). Problemas en el acceso a la educación postobligatoria en España. *RASE*, 1(1), 49–61.
11. Echeita, G., & Verdugo, M. A. (2005). Diez años después de la Declaración de Salamanca.
12. *Siglo Cero*, 36(1), 5–12.
13. Escudero, J. M. (2009). Buenas prácticas en alumnado en riesgo de exclusión. *Profesorado*, 13(3), 107–141.
14. Escudero, J. M., González, M. T., & Martínez, B. (2009). El fracaso escolar como exclusión educativa. *Revista Iberoamericana de Educación*, 50, 41–64.
15. Fernández Enguita, M., Mena Martínez, L., & Riviere Gómez, J. (2010). Fracaso y abandono escolar en España. Fundación La Caixa.
16. Flecha, R. (2008). Comunidades de aprendizaje. Fundación ECOEM. García Pastor, C. (1993). Una escuela común para niños diferentes. PPU.
17. González, M. T. (1998). La micropolítica de las organizaciones escolares. *Revista de Educación*, 136, 215–239.
18. Haberman, M. (1991). The pedagogy of poverty versus good teaching. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 73, 280–290.
19. Jiménez, M., Luengo, J., & Tabernero, J. (2009). Exclusión social y exclusión educativa. *Profesorado*, 13(3), 11–49.
20. Ley Orgánica 2/2006, de 3 de mayo, de Educación. (2006). *Boletín Oficial del Estado*, 106, 17158–17207.
21. Martínez, B. (2005). Medidas de atención a la diversidad. *Revista Interuniversitaria de Formación del Profesorado*, 29(1), 34–56.
22. Miles, S., & Singal, N. (2009). The education for all and the inclusive debate. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 14(1), 1–15.
23. Ministerio de Educación. (2010). *Panorama de la educación*.
24. Miller, E. K., Franco-Jenkins, X., Duncan, J. T., Reddi, A. R., & Ward, C. (2025). Strengthening education through equitable and inclusive evidence-based teaching practices: A scoping review. *Education Sciences*, 15(3), 266. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030266>
25. Muñoz Repiso, M., & Murillo, F. J. (2003). Mejorar las escuelas. *Mensajero*.
26. Oakes, J., & Rogers, J. (2007). Radical change through radical means. *Journal of Educational Change*, 8(3), 193–206.
27. Ochoa Suárez, M. N., Marín Castaño, J. P., Rengifo Tobar, D. X., & Cedeño Ruiz, B. A. (2025). Educational inequality and inclusion strategies. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i33s.5604>
28. Ohonba, A. (2025). Advancing equity in education. *Education Sciences*, 15(12), 1639. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15121639>
29. Parrilla, A. (2005). Tejiendo redes desde la psicopedagogía. Universidad de Deusto.
30. Petrou, A., Angelides, P., & Leigh, J. (2009). Beyond the differences. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 13(5), 439–448.
31. Raffo, C., et al. (2009). Education and poverty. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 13(4), 341–358.
32. Schalock, R. L., & Verdugo, M. A. (2007). Calidad de vida. *Siglo Cero*, 38(4), 21–36. Susinos, T., & Parrilla, A. (2008). Investigación inclusiva. *REICE*, 6(2), 157–171.
33. Thomazet, S. (2009). From integration to inclusive education. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 13(6), 553–563.
34. Townend, G., Alonzo, D., Knipe, S., & Baker, S. (2025). Assessment practices for equitable learning outcomes. *Frontiers in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1536485>
35. UNESCO. (2009). *La educación inclusiva: El camino hacia el futuro*.
36. UNESCO. (2010). Informe EPT 2010. <https://www.unesco.org/es/efareport/reports/2010-marginalization/>
37. Verdugo, M. (2009). Cambio educativo y calidad de vida. *Revista de Educación*, 349, 23–43.
38. Walton, E. (2023). Why inclusive education falters. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2023.2241045>
39. Wehmeyer, M. (2009). Autodeterminación e inclusión. *Revista de Educación*, 349, 47–67.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

Drafting – original draft: Wilber Huamani Paccaya.

Writing–review and editing: Wilber Huamani Paccaya.